



Kosovo Property Claims Commission  
Komisioni i Kërkesave Pronësore të Kosovës  
Komisija Kosovske Agencije za Imovinu

Nazim Gafurri I (Miladin Popovic)  
Pristina  
Tel: +381 (0) 38 249-918  
Fax: +381 (0) 38 249-919  
E-mail: mailbox@kpaonline.org

## DECISION

PANEL NO: 1

DECISION NO.: KPCC/D/A/8/2008  
DECISION DATE: 22/02/2008

## ORDER

*(1) In each of the 1,697 (one thousand and six hundred and ninety seven) claims identified in parts A, B, C and D of the attached Schedule, the Commission*

*decides that*

*The claimant or the property right holder, as the case may be, has established ownership over the claimed property, or such part thereof as specified in the respective individual decision;*

*(2) In each of the 654 (six hundred and fifty four) claims identified in part E of the attached Schedule, the Commission*

*decides that*

*The claimant has established ownership of the deceased property right holder over the claimed property, or such part thereof as specified in the respective individual decision;*

*(3) In each of the 2,351 (two thousand and three hundred and fifty one) claims referred to in paragraphs (1) and (2) above, except Claims Nos. 16311, 16312, 16313, 16314 and 16315, the Commission*

*orders that*

*(a) The claimant or the property right holder, as the case may be, be given possession of the claimed property;*

*(b) The respondent and any other person occupying the property vacate the same within 30 (thirty) days of the delivery of this order; and*

*(c) Should the respondent or any other person occupying the property fail to comply with the order to vacate within the time stated, they be evicted from the property;*

*(4) In each of the 773 (seven hundred and seventy three) claims identified in part F of the attached Schedule, the Commission additionally decides that the claims be dismissed insofar as the claimants seek compensation for physical damage to, or for loss of use of, the claimed property; and*

*(5) In cases in which there is more than one owner, the above decisions and order do not affect the rights of any respective co-owners.*

## **REASONS FOR THE DECISION**

1. A claimant is entitled to an order from the Commission for repossession of the property, if the claimant proves:

- (a) ownership of private immovable property, including agricultural and commercial property; or
- (b) a use right in respect of private immovable property, including agricultural and commercial property,

where the claimant is not now able to exercise such property rights, and where the claim involves circumstances directly related to or resulting from the armed conflict that occurred in Kosovo between 27 February 1998 and 20 June 1999. (See section 3.1 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50.)

2. Where the claimant makes an ownership claim pursuant to section 3.1(a) of UNMIK/REG/2006/50, the Commission must resolve the issue of ownership and, if ownership is proven to the satisfaction of the Commission, make an order for repossession in favour of the claimant. Where the claimant makes a claim for a property use right pursuant to section 3.1(b) of UNMIK/REG/2006/50, the Commission may consider the claim in a summary procedure and make an order for recovery of possession. (See section 3.1 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50, read together with section 9 of Annex III of UNMIK/DIR/2007/5.)

3. The Commission held its fifth session on 19-22 February 2008 in Prishtinë/Pristina. A total of 2,359 agricultural property claims were submitted by the Executive Secretariat to the Commission at its fifth session, together with supporting documentation, claims processing reports, verification reports, legal memoranda and other relevant information.

4. At the Commission's session, the Executive Secretariat presented the claims to the Commission and reported on the processing of and the legal and evidentiary issues raised by the claims. At the Commission's request, the Executive Secretariat provided additional information and explanations, as required.

### *A. Ownership claims*

5. Based on its review of the claims and of the supporting documentation, and of the information provided and the verification conducted by the Executive Secretariat, a total of eight claims were referred by the Commission back to the Executive

Secretariat for additional review and verification, or were withdrawn by the Executive Secretariat. These claims, which are listed in part G of the attached Schedule, will be considered by the Commission in due course. The present decision applies therefore to the remaining 2,351 claims which are listed in parts A, B, C, D and E of the attached Schedule. In all of these claims the claimants seek the resolution of an ownership claim, and all of them relate to agricultural properties, including agricultural land, pasture and forests.

6. The Commission notes that all of the claims listed in parts A, B, C, D and E of the attached Schedule are uncontested in the sense that at the time the claimed properties were notified there was no evidence of illegal occupation, use or cultivation of these properties by any person or, even if there was such evidence, no party has contested the validity of the claim within the 30-day period prescribed in section 10.2 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50. Based on the information provided by the Executive Secretariat, the Commission is satisfied that the Executive Secretariat has made reasonable efforts to notify the claimed properties, the persons who may be currently occupying the properties, and any other persons who may have a legal interest in such properties, as required by section 10.1 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50. Accordingly these claims must be considered uncontested.

7. In the claims identified in part A, B, C and D of the attached Schedule, including in the 1,134 claims identified in part A of the attached Schedule in which the claimant has filed the claim in the capacity of a property right holder, the Commission notes that the claimants have submitted various types of documents in support of their ownership claims, including possession lists, purchase contracts, contracts on gift, contracts on division of property, inheritance decisions, court decisions recognizing ownership and certificates of immovable property rights. The Commission is satisfied that these documents indeed constitute proof of ownership. Many claimants have also submitted additional supporting documents, including tax decisions, witness statements, copies of plans and cadastral decisions. All of these documents have been verified as being valid by the Executive Secretariat.

8. In the 301 claims identified in part B of the attached Schedule, the claimants have filed claims in the capacity of a family household member of the property right holder pursuant to section 1.2 of Annex II of UNMIK/DIR/2007/5. Section I of the Administrative Direction defines "Member of Family Household" to include "the spouse, children (born in and out of wedlock or adopted) and other persons whom the property right holder is obliged to support in accordance with the applicable law, or the persons who are obliged to support the property right holder in accordance with the applicable law, regardless of whether or not that person resided in the property together with the property right holder." The Commission has determined that, under the applicable law, this definition covers, in addition to spouses and children, parents, brothers, sisters, grandparents and grandchildren of the property right holder. All of the claimants in the claims identified in part B of the attached Schedule fall within the definition of family household member. In Claim No. 32411 the property right holder died after the family household member filed the claim. The Commission considers that the property right holder's death during the proceedings cannot affect the validity of the claim, or the right of the family household member to obtain a decision confirming the ownership of the deceased property right holder. Accordingly, a decision confirming the property right in favour of the property right holder stands to

be granted in each of these claims identified in part B of the attached Schedule, as set out above.

9. In the four claims identified in part C of the attached Schedule, the claimants are represented by authorized natural persons with a power of attorney. The Commission has determined that in each of these cases, the authorized natural person possesses a valid and duly executed power of attorney pursuant to section 5.2 of UNMIK/DIR/2007/5. Accordingly a decision confirming the property right in favour of the claimant or the property right holder, as the case may be, in each of these claims stands to be granted, as set out above.

10. In the 258 claims identified in part D of the attached Schedule, the claimant was not the property right holder at the date of loss of possession of the claimed property, but has adduced evidence of having subsequently succeeded to the respective ownership right by virtue of inheritance or contract on gift, as the case may be. These claimants must be considered as having succeeded to all the rights belonging to an owner, including the right to claim for confirmation of ownership and for repossession in the present proceedings. These claims therefore stand to be granted, as set out above. In Claim Nos. 15698, 15700, 28040 and 28043, the Claimants have filed the claims in the capacity of a family household member of a property right holder who has adduced evidence of having subsequently succeeded to the respective ownership right by virtue of inheritance. The Commission determines that the Claimants in these four cases are family household members of the current property right holder within the meaning of section 1.2 of Annex II of UNMIK/DIR/2007/5 and thus entitled to bring a claim on behalf of the current property right holder. In Claim No. 16366 the Claimant has adduced evidence of having subsequently succeeded to the respective ownership right by virtue of an inheritance decision issued by the municipal court in Kragujevac in Serbia, where the property right holder resided for a number of years prior to his death. The Commission has previously determined that the court in such circumstances had jurisdiction to issue the decision. (See the Commission's decision KPCC/D/A/5/2007 of 19 December 2007.)

11. In the 654 claims identified in part E of the attached Schedule, the claimant was not the property right holder at the date of loss of possession of the claimed property, but asserts to having succeeded to the property. No valid inheritance decision or other documentary evidence has been submitted by the claimants that would establish any of the claimants as being an heir to the claimed property, nor has the Executive Secretariat been able to locate any such documents. The Commission has no jurisdiction to resolve issues relating to inheritance. Accordingly, a decision confirming the property right in favour of the deceased property right holder therefore stands to be granted in each of these cases, as set out above. In Claim Nos. 14531, 24161, 24684, 25533, 39766, 39777, 40123 and 40124, the Claimants have filed competing claims over the property of the deceased property right holder. As the Commission has no jurisdiction to resolve issues relating to inheritance as between the parties, each of these claims is granted in the name of the deceased property right holder. In addition, the Commission has directed the Executive Secretariat to consolidate these claims and issue one individual decision for such consolidated claim, in accordance with section 8.8 of Annex III of UNMIK/DIR/2007/5. The Commission's decision with respect to all of the claims identified in part E of the

attached Schedule is without prejudice to the determination by the competent court as to how the heirs will succeed to the property right of the deceased property right holder. In Claim No. 19348 the Claimant has submitted an inheritance decision issued by a “parallel” court operating in Serbia. This document cannot be considered as being valid.

12. The various types of documents relied upon by the claimants in support of the claims referred to in paragraphs 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 above are listed in the relevant column of parts A, B, C, D and E of the attached Schedule.

13. In a number of claims the claimants left the property outside the period 27 February 1998 and 20 June 1999, referred to in section 3 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50. The Commission has determined that, even though the date of departure in these claims fell outside the above period, the loss of property right in each case occurred in circumstances directly related to or resulting from the armed conflict that occurred in Kosovo between 27 February 1998 and 20 June 1999, as required by section 3 of UNMIK/REG/2006/50. In Claim No. 22719 the Claimant left the property in March 2004, in the aftermath of the riots that occurred in Kosovo at the time. The Commission considers that these events were directly related to or resulted from the armed conflict that occurred in Kosovo between 27 February 1998 and 20 June 1999.

14. In view of the foregoing, the Commission is satisfied, based on the evidence before it, that:

- (a) the claimant or the property right holder, as the case may be, had an ownership right in respect of the claimed property, or such part thereof as specified in the respective individual decision;
- (b) each claim is uncontested in that no person has contested the validity of the claim;
- (c) the claimant in each case is not now able to exercise his or her ownership right; and
- (d) the claim in each case involves circumstances directly related to or resulting from the armed conflict that occurred in Kosovo between 27 February 1998 and 20 June 1999.

*B. Claims for compensation*

15. In the 773 claims identified in part F of the attached Schedule, the claimants also seek, in addition to ownership, compensation for physical damage to, or for loss of use of, the claimed property. Under UNMIK/REG/2006/50 the Commission has no jurisdiction over such claims. Accordingly these claims must be dismissed.

C. *Concluding remarks*

16. In view of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the claims listed in parts A, B, C, D and E of the attached Schedule succeed and an order be made in respect of each claim as set out above.

17. In cases where more than one claim relates to the same property, but where nonetheless each of such competing claims stands to be granted (see, *e.g.*, paragraph 11 above), the Commission has directed the Executive Secretariat to consolidate these claims and issue one individual decision for each such consolidated claim.

18. Section 8.8 of Annex III of UNMIK/ADM/DIR/2007/5 allows the Chairperson of the Commission to sign a cover decision approving all individual claims identified in the cover decision, if the number of claims decided in a session is high. The Commission considers that this is appropriate in the present case.



Chairperson

**APPEALS**

UNMIK/REG/2006/50 provides that:

12.1 Within thirty (30) days of the notification to the parties by the Kosovo Property Agency of a decision of the Commission on a claim, a party may submit through the Executive Secretariat of the Kosovo Property Agency to the Supreme Court of Kosovo an appeal against such decision.

12.3 The appeal may be filed on the grounds that:

- (a) The decision involves a fundamental error or serious misapplication of the applicable material or procedural law; or
- (b) The decision rests upon an erroneous or incomplete determination of the facts.

**\* The English version is the official of all Property Claims Commission decisions. In case of conflict between the English language version and the Albanian or Serbian language version, then the meaning in the English language shall prevail.**